

## COURT OF APPEALS

---

Paulette L. Leschig,  
Appellant,

Case No.: 25-AC-002

v.

Date: April 29, 2025

Oneida Comprehensive  
Housing Division,  
Respondent.

---

### INITIAL REVIEW DECISION

---

This matter has come before Oneida Judiciary Appellate Judges Michele Doxtator, Diane House, and Daniel Cornelius.

#### BACKGROUND

On October 1, 2024, the Appellant, Paulette L. Leschig (hereinafter “Leschig”) received a “Non-Renewal of Rental Agreement – Notice to Vacate” from the Respondent, Oneida Comprehensive Housing Division (hereinafter “OCHD”) for the premises located at N6420 Evergreen Drive, Oneida, WI, 54155. On October 25, 2024, Leschig filed a complaint with the Oneida Trial Court seeking to overturn the action of OCHD. Several hearings were held. On March 26, 2025, the Trial Court issued an Order wherein it upheld the eviction. Leschig was ordered to vacate the premises by 11:59 p.m. on Friday, April 25, 2025. On April 25, 2025, Leschig filed a Notice of Appeal with this Court and included a request to stay the execution of the Trial Court Order until all legal processes have been exhausted and/or pending the determination of the Court of Appeals.

#### ANALYSIS

After review of the information contained in the Notice of Appeal and the decision of the Trial Court, as the original hearing body, it is determined that Leschig has not sufficiently alleged the decision:

1. Violated applicable provision of the Constitution;
2. Violated provision, substantive or procedural, of applicable Tribal law or applicable federal law;
3. Is an administrative decision that is arbitrary and capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with applicable law; or
4. Is not supported by the substantial evidence on the record taken as a whole.

Leschig submits no evidence the Trial Court's finding that she had ample time to address her admitted failure to meet OCHD's minimum requirements was made in error. Rather, Leschig's primary argument on appeal focuses on due process violations, that the eviction did not follow procedural requirements, and that she was unable to attend the Trial Court's final hearing. The final hearing on this matter was preceded by months of pre-trial hearings that included several delays. Leschig was represented by counsel at the hearing and throughout the process. No credible evidence supporting due process violations has been presented in the Notice of Appeal.

#### DECISION

For the reasons stated above, this appeal is DENIED and the Trial Court's Order upholding the eviction of Leschig is AFFIRMED. Since the appeal has been denied, Leschig's request for a stay is hereby rendered moot.

By the authority vested in the Oneida Judiciary, Court of Appeals, in Oneida General Tribal Council Resolutions 01-07-13-B and 03-19-17-A, the appeal is **DENIED** for review. Dated this 29th day of April 2025, in the matter of Case No. 25-AC-002, *Paulette L. Leschig v. Oneida Comprehensive Housing Division*.

***It is so ordered.***