ONEIDA JUDICIARY

Tsi nu téshakotiya?tolétha?

TRIAL COURT

Tina M. Webster, Petitioner;

v.

CASE NO: 24-TC-013 DATE: September 4, 2024

Ronald C. Antholt, Jr., Respondent.

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This case came before the Oneida Trial Court, Honorable Patricia Ninham Hoeft presiding.

Not appearing: Tina M. Webster, Petitioner; Ronald C. Antholt, Jr. Respondent.

BACKGROUND

On July 25, 2024, Petitioner filed a complaint seeking a temporary restraining order (TRO) and/or preliminary injunction against Respondent. After reviewing the documents provided by Petitioner, the Court was unable to find specific and verified facts to clearly show a temporary restraining order could be granted. As a result, the Court denied Petitioner's request for a TRO and scheduled a pre-trial hearing to determine the issues and, if necessary, set a trial date and discovery schedule. On August 27, 2024, a pre-trial hearing was held and neither party appeared. The Court, on its own motion, found Petitioner in default for failing to appear and enters a default judgment against Petitioner to dismiss this case.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Court has subject matter and personal jurisdiction over this matter.
- 2. Petitioner received proper notice.
- 3. On July 30, 2024, Petitioner received the Court's order to deny her claim for a temporary restraining order (TRO) and scheduled a pre-trial hearing on August 27, 2024.

- 4. Petitioner was required to serve Respondent with a summons, the complaint, and the July 30, 2024 order.
- 5. On August 27, 2024, both Petitioner and Respondent did not appear.
- 6. On the Court's own motion, Petitioner was found in default for failing to appear and a default judgment was entered dismissing this case.

PRINCIPLES OF LAW

O.C.L. Title 8. Judiciary – Chapter 801, Judiciary, Rule #1 – Oneida Trial Court Rules 1.5 Default Judgment

1.5-1. If a party fails to appear at any Trial Court matter, the Court shall confirm and be satisfied that proper notice was provided.

O.C.L. Title 8. Judiciary – Chapter 803, Oneida Judiciary Rules of Civil Procedure 803.29. Default; Default Judgment

803.29-3. *Plaintiff.* When a party who has filed a claim fails to appear, plead, or prosecute said claim as provided in this Law or elsewhere, a dismissal may be granted by the Court, on its own or on a party's motion, dismissing the claim. For purposes of this section, dismissal shall be treated as default.

803.29-6. *Setting Aside a Default or a Default Judgment*. The Court may set aside an entry of default for good cause, and it may set aside a default judgment under Rule 803.33-2 within one (1) year of entry of default or default judgment.

803.21. Dismissal of Action

803.21-2. *Involuntary Dismissal; Effect*. If the plaintiff fails to prosecute or to comply with this Law or a Court order, a defendant may move to dismiss the action or any claim against it. Unless the dismissal order states otherwise, a dismissal under this subdivision 803.21-2 and any dismissal not under this Rule—except one for lack of jurisdiction, improper venue, or failure to join a party—operates as an adjudication on the merits.

ANALYSIS

Petitioner did not appear at the pre-trial hearing. Under the Oneida Judiciary Rules of Procedure, section 803.29-3, when a party who has filed a claim fails to appear, plead, or

prosecute their claim, a dismissal may be granted by the Court on its own motion. Thus, the Court finds Petitioner in default for failing to appear. Therefore, the Court, on its own motion, enters a default judgment against Petitioner and dismisses Petitioner's claim with prejudice.

ORDER

A default judgment is entered against Petitioner as follows:

- 1. The Court finds Petitioner in default for failure to appear at the pre-trial hearing.
- 2. The Court dismisses Petitioner's claim with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

By the authority vested in the Oneida Judiciary pursuant to Resolution 01-07-13-B of the General Tribal Council, this Order was signed on September 4, 2024.

Patricia Ninham Hoeft, Trial Court Judge