Oneida Appeals Commission

On^yote ? a ka Tsi? Shakotiya? Tolé hte

Phone: 920-497-5800 Fax: 920-497-5805



Post Office Box 19 Oneida, WI 54155

ONEIDA APPEALS COMMISSION

| TRIAL COURT | |
|---|--|
| Valerie J. Bruette |) Docket No. 04-TC-027 |
| Vs. |)) Date October 8, 2004 |
| HRD, Personnel Commission | |
| Backgrounds, Oneida Appeals (| Commission) |
| Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wise | |
| | |
| | 13 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - |
| This case came before | the Oneida Appeals Commission Trial Court, Judici |
| Officers, Alice Soulier, Richa | rd Ackley and Mark Butterfield Lead Judicial Offic |
| presiding on October 8, 2004 a | t Oneida WI Annearances by William Cornelius for t |
| presiding on October 8, 2004 a | t Oneida, WI. Appearances by William Cornelius for t |
| ANS AND A | t Oneida, WI. Appearances by William Cornelius for the Gerald Hill for the Oneida Personnel Commission and |
| Oneida Appeals Commission, | Gerald Hill for the Oneida Personnel Commission a |
| Oneida Appeals Commission, | |
| Oneida Appeals Commission, Peggy Schneider for the Oneid | Gerald Hill for the Oneida Personnel Commission as la Human Resources Division, Compliance Division as |
| Oneida Appeals Commission, Peggy Schneider for the Oneid | Gerald Hill for the Oneida Personnel Commission a |

Petition were considered true for the purposes of the claim of lack of jurisdiction. For these purposes the petitioner is a non-Oneida Indian who works in a position for the Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin. Ms. Bruette was an applicant for the position of

Bruette v. Oneida Human Resources Division, et. Al. 04-TC-027

Page 1 of 1

appellate clerk of the Oneida Appeals Commission. For the purposes of this case it is accepted that she passed the typing test for this position and otherwise met the minimum qualification for the position of appellate clerk. She was initially given an invitation to interview which was later withdrawn. The position of appellate clerk was designated as open only to members of the Oneida Nation.

The Trial Court heard arguments from all the parties in this case. After the conclusion of the oral argument, consideration of all the pleadings filed, and having duly deliberated in this matter, the Trial Court concludes that it lacks subject matter jurisdiction to hear this matter. This Court finds that there is no administrative appeals body from which there is a proper appeal. Basically stated, there is no Oneida Administrative body which rendered a decision from which an appeal can be made. Ms. Bruette is an applicant for a position and does not possess either a liberty or property interest in the position for which she applied.

This Court is *not* a Court of general jurisdiction limited to areas where there is a specific right of appeal. Ms. Bruette claimed at the hearing for the first time that she was seeking a declaratory judgment under *Oneida Rule of Civil Procedure 33*. Given that the *Answer* had already been filed and she did not seek permission to amend her pleadings, it is too late to do so at the hearing as prejudice has applied. Though this court noted for the record that it did have personal jurisdiction over the petitioner, it found that there was no appeal properly under the Oneida Administrative Procedures Act which was brought properly before the Oneida Appeals Commission.

By authority vested in the Oneida Appeals Commission pursuant to Resolution 8-19-91A of the General Tribal Council it is so held on this 8th, day of October in the matter

Bruette v. Oneida Human Resources Division, et. Al. 04-TC-027

Page 2 of 2

of Bruette v. HRD, Personnel Commission Backgrounds, Oneida Appeals Commission,

and Oneida Tribe of Indians on the Oneida Reservation.

0 Hon Mark Butterfield 2. ankly Hon. Richard Ackley

Hon. Alice Soulier

•

. .

Bruette v. Oneida Human Resources Division, et. Al. 04-TC-027

Page 3 of 3