

# ***Oneida Tribal Judicial System***

Onλyote ʔ a·ka Tsiʔ Shakotiyaʔ Tolé hte

---

## **TRIAL COURT**

---

**Racquel L. Hill,  
Petitioner**

**Docket No: 13-TC-131**

v.

**Oneida Business Committee/  
General Tribal Council,  
Respondent**

---

## **DECISION ON PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR AN INJUNCTION**

---

This case has come before the Oneida Tribal Judicial System, Trial Court. Judicial Officers; Mary Adams, Sandra L. Skenadore, and Jean M. Webster, presiding.

### **Background**

On December 18, 2013 Petitioner filed the following requests: to place an Injunction on the motion made by the General Tribal Council to dissolve the Seven Generations Corporation; that the motion be ruled out of order as it did not attain the two-thirds majority vote and; too stay the any action that the Respondents may take until this case is completed.

On December 20, 2013 a deliberation was held. Before the Court discussed Petitioner's requests we had to decide if this Court has jurisdiction to adjudicate her complaint. The second issue discussed is whether Petitioner's request met the criteria under Rule 31(E)1, when considering to place an immediate injunction. First, we found that Petitioner's request failed to include specific documentation to support whether this Court has jurisdiction to address her complaint. Secondly, Petitioner failed to meet the criteria set out under Rule 31(E)1, to place an immediate stay on Respondent's actions. However, Petitioner has a right to a hearing and therefore, this

case shall proceed to a hearing within 30-days. Respondent shall have until December 30, 2013 to submit their answer to Petitioner's complaint.

**Decision**

A hearing is scheduled for January 6, 2014 at 9:00 a.m. The parties shall be prepared to show with evidence whether this Court has jurisdiction in order to move forward. Once jurisdiction is established then Petitioner may address the merits of her complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.